

1 ALAN R. SMITH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 1449

2 KEVIN A. DARBY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 7670

3 Law Offices of Alan R. Smith

505 Ridge Street

4 Reno, Nevada 89501

Telephone (775) 786-4579

5 Facsimile (775) 786-3066

Email: mail@asmithlaw.com

6 *Attorney for The Lenders Protection Group*

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - January 17, 2007

7 JANET L. CHUBB, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 1974

8 Jones Vargas

100 W. Liberty Street, 12th Floor

9 Reno, Nevada 89501

Telephone: (775) 788-2205

10 Facsimile: (775) 786-1177

Email: jlc@jonesvargas.com

11 *Attorney for The Jones Vargas Direct Lenders*

12 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
13 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

14 —ooOoo—

15 In Re:
16 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE
COMPANY,

Debtor. /

17 In Re:
18 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,

Debtor. /

19 In Re:
20 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST
DEED FUND, LLC,

Debtor. /

21 In re:
22 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,

Debtor. /

23 In re:
24 USA SECURITIES, LLC,

Debtor. /

Affects:

☒ All Debtors

☐ USA Commercial Mortgage Company

☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Securities, LLC

Case Nos.:

BK-S-06-10725-LBR

BK-S-06-10726-LBR

BK-S-06-10727-LBR

BK-S-06-10728-LBR

BK-S-06-10729-LBR

JOINTLY ADMINISTERED
Chapter 11

AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

Hearing Date: n/a

Hearing Time: n/a

The Lenders Protection Group, a group of investors/lenders in USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM"), as identified on the *Statement Of The Law Offices Of Alan R. Smith Pursuant To Bankruptcy Rule 2019* filed herein on December 6, 2006, and as supplemented thereafter ("LPG"), through its counsel, Alan R. Smith, Esq., and Charles B. Anderson Trust, Rita P. Anderson Trust, Baltes Company, Kehl Family Members and Mojave Canyon, Inc., through their counsel, Janet L. Chubb, Esq., appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 158 and Fed. R. Bankr. Proc., Rule 8001(a), from the following judgments, orders or decrees of the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Nevada, in the above captioned cases:

1. **Order Confirming The "Debtors' Third Amended Plan of Reorganization," As Modified Herein**, entered on the record as Docket #2376 on January 8, 2006, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A; and
2. **Findings of Fact And Conclusions Of Law In Support Of The Confirmation Order**, entered on the Record as Docket #2377, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

The names of all parties to these orders are as follows:

Annette W. Jarvis, Esq. Ray Quinney & Nebeker PC 36 South State St., Ste. 1400 Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0385 (801) 532-1500 Counsel For Debtors	Lenard E. Schwartz, Esq. Schwartz & McPherson Law 2850 S. Jones Blvd., Ste. 1 Las Vegas, NV 89146-5408 (702) 228-7590 Counsel for the Debtors
Marc A. Levinson, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe 400 Capitol Mall, Ste. 3000 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 329-7921 Counsel for the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC	Frank A. Merola, Esq. Stutman, Treister & Glatt, P.C. 1901 Avenue of the Stars, 12 th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310) 228-5600 Counsel for Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund LLC

1 2 3 4 5	Gerald M. Gordon, Esq. Greg Garman, Esq. Gordon & Silver, Ltd. 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy, 9 th Fl Las Vegas, NV 89109 (702) 796-5555 Counsel for Official Committee of Holders of Executory Contract Rights of USA Commercial Mortgage Company	Rob Charles, Esq. Lewis and Roca, LLP LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 1 SOUTH CHURCH AVENUE #700 TUCSON, AZ 857011611 (520) 629-4427 Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of USA Commercial Mortgage Company
6 7 8	August B. Landis, Esq. Office of the U.S. Trustee 300 Las Vegas Blvd., S, Ste 4300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 388-6600	Dean Kirby, Esq. Kirby & McGuinn, A P.C. 600 B Street, Suite 1950 San Diego, Ca 92101 (619) 685-4000 Counsel for Debt Acquisition Corp.
9 10 11 12	Robert Le Pome, Esq. 10120 S. Eastern Ave. #200 Henderson, NV 89052 (702) 492-1271 Counsel for The Alexander Group	Janet Chubb, Esq. Jones Vargas 100 W. Liberty St., 12 th Floor Reno, NV 89501 (775) 788-2205 Counsel for The Jones Vargas Direct Lenders
13 14 15 16	George Davis Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153 (212) 310-8000 Counsel for Compass Partners	David Cohen Warner Stephens, LLP 301 Commerce Street, #1700 Fort Worth, TX 76102 (817) 810-5250 Counsel for Sierra Liquidity Fund
17 18 19 20	Michael Schmahl, Esq. 1319 N. Wood Street, #3B Chicago, Il. 60622 (773) 278-5223 Counsel for Dr. Gary Kantor, Mrs. Cantor and Kantor Nephrology 401K plan	Eric Field, Esq. Assistant United States Attorney 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336 Counsel for Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation
21 22 23 24 25	Russell Walker, Esq. Woodbury & Kesler, P.C. 265 East 100 South, Suite 300 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 364-1100 Counsel for USA Investment Partners, LLC, Joseph Milinowski and Thomas Hanges	Gregory Walch, Esq. 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 791-0308 Counsel for Gregory J. Walch and Shauna M Walch Family Trust

<p>Jeffrey Sylvester Sylvester & Polednak, LTD 7371 Prairie Falcon, Suite 120 Las Vegas, NV 89128 (702) 952-5200 Counsel for USA Commercial Real Estate Group</p>	<p>Susan Scann, Esq. Deaner, Deaner, Scann, Malan & Larsen 720 South Fourth Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 382-6911 Counsel for Copper Sage Commercial Center and Binford Medical Developers, LLC</p>
<p>Andrew Brumby, Esq. Shutts & Brown, LLP 300 South Orange Ave., Suite 1000 Orlando, FL. 32802 (407) 423-3200 Counsel for Standard Property Development</p>	<p>Wade Gochnour, Esq. 1117 South Rancho Drive Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 474-7557 Counsel for Liberty Bank</p>

DATED this 17th day of January, 2007.

LAW OFFICES OF ALAN R. SMITH

/s/ Alan R. Smith

By

ALAN R. SMITH, ESQ.

Attorney for The Lenders Protection Group

EXHIBIT A



Entered on Docket
January 08, 2007

Hon. Linda B. Riegler
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Annette W. Jarvis, Utah Bar No. 1649
RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
36 South State Street, Suite 1400
P.O. Box 45385
Salt Lake City, Utah 84145-0385
Telephone: (801) 532-1500
Facsimile: (801) 532-7543
Email: ajarvis@rqn.com
and

Lenard E. Schwartz, NV Bar No. 0399
Schwartz & McPherson Law Firm
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Telephone: (702) 228-7590
Facsimile: (702) 892-0122
E-Mail: bkfilings@s-mlaw.com
Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:
USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,
Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR
Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR

In re:
USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,
Debtor.

Chapter 11

In re:
USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED
FUND, LLC,
Debtor.

Jointly Administered Under
Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR

In re:
USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND,
LLC,
Debtor.

**[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT
AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN
SUPPORT OF THE "ORDER
CONFIRMING THE "DEBTORS'
THIRD AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER
11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, AS
MODIFIED HEREIN"**

In re:
USA SECURITIES, LLC,
Debtor.

Affects:
☒ All Debtors
☐ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
☐ USA Securities, LLC
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

Confirmation Hearing
Date: December 19, 2006
Time: 10:00 a.m.

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

Commencing on December 19, 2006 at 10:00 a.m., the Court held a hearing (the "Confirmation Hearing") on the confirmation of the "Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization") (as modified by the Confirmation Order (as defined below), the "Plan")¹ proposed by USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM"), USA Securities, LLC ("USA Securities"), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC ("USA Realty"), USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("DTDF") and USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("FTDF"), debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Debtors"). Appearances were made as indicated on the record at the Confirmation Hearing.

The Court considered the pleadings and documents filed by the Debtors and other interested parties in connection with confirmation of the Plan, including the following:

(a) the Plan and all accompanying exhibits, including, without limitation, the Plan Documents Supplement, the Revised Schedule of Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases, the forms of Disbursing Agent Agreements for USACM and FTDF filed by the Debtors and the Direct Lender Supplement;

(b) the "Debtors' First Amended Disclosure Statement to Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization" (the "Disclosure Statement") previously approved by the Court;

(c) the "Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Confirmation of the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" (the "Confirmation Memorandum");

(d) the Declaration of Thomas J. Allison filed in support of confirmation of the Plan (the "Allison Declaration");

(e) the Affidavit of Balloting Agent Regarding Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes in Connection with the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization, regarding compliance with the "Solicitation Procedures" approved in the Disclosure Statement Order ("BMC Declaration");

¹ All terms not otherwise defined in these Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (the "Findings") shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Plan.

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

(f) the Declaration of David Blatt of Compass Partners LLC in Support of Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization ("Compass Declaration");

(g) the "Affidavit of Balloting Agent Regarding Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes in Connection with the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" and the Ballot Tabulation Report attached as Exhibit A thereto [Docket No. 2165] and "Supplemental Affidavit of Balloting Agent Regarding Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes in Connection with the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" and the Revised Ballot Tabulation Report attached as Exhibit B thereto [Docket No. 2243], which detail the tabulation of Ballots cast for or against the Plan;

(h) the "Partial Opposition to Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by Erna D. Grundman and Joanne M. Grundman;

(i) the "Partial Opposition to Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by Joanne M. Grundman;

(j) the "Objection of The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation to Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC");

(k) the "Objection to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization," filed by Edward Burgess;

(l) "Liberty Bank's Limited Objection to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization," filed by Liberty Bank;

(m) "Standard Property Company, LLC's Limited Objection to the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization," filed by Standard Property, LLC;

(n) "Joinder in Standard Property Company, LLC's Limited Objection to the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization on Behalf of Copper Sage Commerce Center LLC," filed by Copper Sage Commercial Center;

(o) "Joinder in Standard Property Company, LLC's Limited Objection to the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization on Behalf of Binford Medical Developers," filed by Binford Medical Developers;

SCHWARTZ & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

(p) "Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Confirmation of Debtor's Plan," filed by Debt Acquisition Company of America V and the Declaration of Howard Justus [Docket No. 2032] filed in support thereof;

(q) "Limited Objection to Plan," filed by Gregory Walch and Shauna Walch, etc.;

(r) the "Objection of USA Investment Partners, LLC, Joseph Milanowski and Thomas Hantges to Confirmation of the Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization," filed by Joseph Milanowski and Thomas Hantges (the "H&M Objection") and the Declaration of Victoria Loob filed in support thereof (the "Loob Declaration");

(s) the "Objection to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization as it Applies to Debtor USA Commercial Mortgage Company," filed by The Lenders Protection Group (the "LPG Objection");

(t) the Declaration of Donna Cangelosi filed in support of the LPG Objection (the "Cangelosi Declaration");

(u) Multiple joinders to objections to confirmation of the Plan filed by Objecting JV Creditors [Docket nos. 2040, 2099, 2179, 2180, 2182, 2184, and 2191];

(v) "Limited Objection to Pecos Professional Park Limited Partnership and Haspinov, LLC, to Debtors' Proposed Plan of Reorganization," filed by USA Commercial Real Estate Group;

(w) "Joinder in Limited Opposition to Plan," filed by Direct Lenders Alexander and others as shown in the Second Amended Statement of Robert C. LePome, Esq. and Nancy Allf, Esq. Pursuant to Rule 2019;

(x) "Errata to Limited Objection to Plan," filed by Gregory J. Walch and Shauna M. Walch, Trustees of the Gregory J. and Shauna M. Walch Family Trust;

(y) the "Opposition and Joinder of Sierra Liquidity Fund, L.L.C. in Oppositions [sic] to Confirmation of Debtors' Third Amended Joint Plan of Reorganization" (the "Sierra Liquidity Objection" and, collectively with the objections to the Plan listed in (h)-(x) above, the "Objections");

SCHWARTZ & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

(z) the "Debtors' Combined Motion In Limine And Memorandum In Support Regarding The Objection Of USA Investment Partners, LLC, Joseph Milanowski And Thomas Hantges To The Confirmation Of The Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization," filed by the Debtors; and

(aa) all other pleadings, affidavits and documents filed in connection with this matter.

The Court has heard the statements, arguments, representations and offers of proof of counsel regarding confirmation of the Plan at the Confirmation Hearing, and has considered the record of these Chapter 11 Cases and all testimony and evidence admitted at or before the Confirmation Hearing.

These findings of fact and conclusions of law are in support of the "Order Confirming the 'Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of Reorganization,' As Modified Herein" (the "Confirmation Order"), entered concurrently herewith.

Based on the foregoing, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law, as supplemented by the findings of fact and conclusions of law stated orally and reported in open court on the record at the Confirmation Hearing (which are incorporated herein) pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7052.²

FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. This matter is a core proceeding over which the Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b) and 1334(a). Venue of this proceeding is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

B. The Debtors provided notice of the Confirmation Hearing and of the time fixed for balloting and filing objections to confirmation of the Plan to all entities entitled to receive such notice, including all known holders of Claims and Equity Interests of the Debtors. The notice by the Debtors of such matters fully and adequately described the relief requested at the

² This document constitutes the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 7052 and 9014. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7052, findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law, and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact, when appropriate.

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

Confirmation Hearing and was reasonable, appropriate, and complied in all regards with due process and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules (including Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 3017, 3018, and 3019), and the Local Bankruptcy Rules and orders of the Court, including this Court's "Order Approving: (A) Debtors' Disclosure Statement; (B) Proposed Notice of Confirmation Hearing; (C) Proposed Solicitation and Notice Procedures; and (D) Proposed Form of Ballots" (the "Disclosure Statement Order").

C. Pursuant to the Disclosure Statement Order, all objections to confirmation of the Plan, including all evidence in support thereof, were required to be filed by December 11, 2006 and, therefore, the Cangelosi Declaration filed on December 18, 2006 and the Sierra Liquidity Objection filed on December 15, 2006 were not timely filed and were stricken from the record and/or overruled.

D. The only evidence submitted in support of the H&M Objection was the Loob Declaration. On December 18, 2006, the Debtors undertook an examination of Ms. Loob pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2004 where Ms. Loob asserted her rights under the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution in connection with questions concerning the H&M Objection and her declaration in support thereof. Additionally, USA Investment Partners ("USAIP") and Joseph Milanowski each failed to appear at Court-ordered examinations to be conducted pursuant to Rule 2004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. Upon consideration of Ms. Loob's assertion of her Fifth Amendment rights, the failure of USAIP and Mr. Milanowski to appear at their respective Rule 2004 examinations, and the Motion in Limine, the H&M Objection and Loob Declaration were stricken from the record.

E. None of the Objections, including the LPG Objection, raised any objection with respect to the classification of the Classes of Claims and/or Equity Interests as set forth in the Plan or use of a Class to meet cramdown requirements. Further, Class A-5 consists of holders of "claims" within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code section § 101(5).

F. The Debtors conducted the solicitation of acceptances or rejections of the Plan, and the related distribution and tabulation of ballots with respect thereto, in good faith and in compliance with the Disclosure Statement Order, all applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

Rules (including Bankruptcy Rules 3017 and 3018), all applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code (including sections 1125 and 1126), and all other applicable laws, rules, and regulations. Among other things, the Debtors transmitted the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, and the applicable ballot to all known holders of Claims and Equity Interests of the Debtors that are impaired under, and therefore entitled to vote on, the Plan.

G. The Plan satisfies all of the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a) as follows:

1. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(1): the Plan complies with all of the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including Bankruptcy Code sections 1122 and 1123.

The classification structure is proper and in accordance with Section 1122 of the Bankruptcy Code. Each Class under the Plan differs in legal character or nature. All Claims and Equity Interests within each Class are substantially similar to the other Claims or Equity Interests in that Class because they are similar in legal character to the other Claims against or Equity Interests in Debtors in such class.

Article II Section C of the Plan designates Classes of Claims and Equity Interests for each of the Debtors, other than those specified in Sections 507(a)(2), (a)(3) and (a)(8) of the Bankruptcy Code, and states the treatment of each Class under the Plan in accordance with Sections 1123(a)(1), (2) and (3). The Plan also provides for the same treatment of each Claim and Equity Interest within a particular Class in accordance with Section 1123(a)(4).

Article IV of the Plan provides adequate means for its implementation in a manner that is consistent with Section 1123(a)(5) and (b).

2. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(2): the Debtors have complied with all of the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, including Bankruptcy Code section 1125.

3. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(3): the Debtors have proposed the Plan in good faith and not by any means forbidden by law. Moreover, the Plan itself, and the negotiated process leading to its formulation, along with all four Committees, provide independent evidence of the good faith of the Debtors.

4. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(4): Article II Section B.1 and Article VII Section A of

the Plan, provides for the appropriate review and determination of the fees and expenses incurred through the Effective Date.

5. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(5): the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, the exhibits to the Plan, the Confirmation Memorandum, the Plan Documents Supplement, the Direct Lender Supplement, and the disclosures at the Confirmation Hearing disclose the identity, qualifications, method of election, and/or compensation of the DTDF Administrator, the DTDF Post-Effective Date Committee, USACM Trustee, the USACM Trust Committee, the Disbursing Agents for FTDF, USA Realty and USA Securities, respectively. The appointment of such persons is consistent with the interests of creditors and shareholders and with public policy.

6. 11 U.S.C. §§1129(a)(6): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(6) are not applicable to the Plan.

7. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(7): each holder of a Claim or Equity Interest in a Class that is impaired under the Plan will receive or retain under the Plan property of a value, as of the Effective Date, that is not less than such holder would so receive or retain if the Debtors were liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on such date.

8. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(8), (10): In the Chapter 11 Cases of FTDF, DTDF, USA Realty, and USA Securities, Classes B-1 through B-4, C-1 through C-4, D-1 through D-3 and E-1 through E-3 are unimpaired, and Classes B-5, C-5, D-4 and E-4, though impaired, have accepted the Plan. The Plan, with respect to the Chapter 11 Cases of FTDF, DTDF, USA Realty, and USA Securities, thus satisfies the requirement of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(8).

In the Chapter 11 case of USACM, Classes A-1 through A-3 are unimpaired, and classes A-4 through A-8 are impaired under the Plan. Classes A-6 through A-8 will receive no distribution under the Plan and are deemed to reject the Plan. Impaired Class A-5 has voted to accept the Plan, and based on the Revised Ballot Tabulation Report, impaired Class A-4 also has voted to accept the Plan. Accordingly, Section 1129 (a)(8) has been satisfied with respect to USACM's Chapter 11 Case. Based on the acceptance of the Plan by Class A-5, Section 1129(a)(10) has been met with regard to USACM's Chapter 11 Case even if Class A-4 had not accepted the Plan as a result of the Del Bunch Rule 3018 contested matter.

1 Section 1129(a)(10) does not apply to FTDF or DTDF because there is no impaired
2 class of Claims in their respective Chapter 11 Cases

3 In USA Realty's case, Classes D-4, the only voting impaired Class of Claims, has
4 voted to accept the Plan. Section 1129(a)(10) is met in USA Realty's Chapter 11 Case.

5 In USA Securities' case, Class E-4, the only voting impaired Class of Claims, has
6 voted to accept the Plan. Section 1129(a)(10) is met with regard to USA Securities' Chapter 11
7 Case.

8 9. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(9): the treatment of Allowed Administrative Expense
9 Claims, Allowed Priority Unsecured Claims, Allowed Priority Tax Claims and Allowed Secured
10 Tax Claims as set forth in Article II, Sections B. and C. of the Plan satisfies the requirements of
11 Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(9) in that holders of such Administrative Expense Claims,
12 Priority Unsecured Claims, Priority Tax Claims and Secured Tax Claims will be paid in full on the
13 Effective Date, or as soon as such expenses or claims become Allowed under the terms of the
14 Plan, except where the holder of such Claim agrees to a different treatment.

15 10. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(11): the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy
16 Code section 1129(a)(11) as the evidence submitted by the Debtors shows that each of the Debtors
17 has sufficient funds on the Effective Date to make all of the distributions required to be made
18 under the Plan and that the Plan, including all of the documents incorporated therein, has adequate
19 provisions for the creation and management of the USACM Trust, the retention of assets by and
20 the management of Post-Effective Date DTDF, for making distributions to holders of Allowed
21 Claims or Equity Interests classified in Classes A-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against
22 USACM), B-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against FTDF), B-5 (Equity Interests in
23 FTDF), C-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against DTDF), C-5 (Equity Interests in DTDF),
24 D-4 (Allowed General Unsecured Claims against USA Realty) and E-4 (Allowed General
25 Unsecured Claims against USA Securities), which are the only Classes that will receive a
26 distribution under the Plan, and to implement the provisions of the settlement with the holders of
27 Class A-5 (Direct Lender Compromise Claims).

28 11. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(12): the Plan's provision for the payment of statutory

SCHWARTZ & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

1 fees to the Office of the United States Trustee, satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
2 section 1129(a)(12).

3 12. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(13): the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy
4 Code section 1129(a)(13) because the Debtors are not subject to any retiree benefits as defined in
5 Bankruptcy Code section 1144.

6 13. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(14): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
7 section 1129(a)(14), which mandate the payment of domestic obligations, are inapplicable to these
8 business Debtors.

9 14. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(15): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
10 section 1129(a)(15), which only applies to cases where the debtor is an individual, are inapplicable
11 to these business Debtors.

12 15. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(16): the requirements of Bankruptcy Code
13 section 1129(a)(16), which only applies to "the transfer of property by a corporation or trust that is
14 not a moneyed, business, or commercial corporation or trust", are inapplicable because each of the
15 Debtors is a moneyed business or commercial corporation.

16 16. 11 U.S.C. § 1129(b)(1) and (2): With respect to Classes A-6, A-7, A-8, D-5
17 and E-5, which have not accepted the Plan, and Class A-4, to the extent such Class has not
18 accepted the Plan, the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code sections 1129(b)(1) and
19 1129(b)(2) because the Plan does not discriminate unfairly against, and provides fair and equitable
20 treatment with respect to, the Allowed Claims in Classes A-4, A-6 and A-7 and Allowed Equity
21 Interests in Classes A-8, D-5 and E-5 in that the Plan provides for no distribution to any junior
22 Class in a Debtor's Estate and does not discriminate unfairly among such Classes.

23 H. The Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(b) as
24 follows:

25 1. All of the applicable requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)
26 other than paragraph (8) are met with respect to the Plan.

27 2. The Plan does not discriminate unfairly with respect to Classes A-4 (to the
28 extent such Class has not accepted the Plan), A-6, A-7, A-8, D-5 and E-5.

SCHWARTZ & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 Fax: (702) 892-0122

3. The Plan is "fair and equitable" as to Classes A-4 (to the extent such Class has not accepted the Plan) and A-6 because holders of junior Claims or Equity Interests will not receive or retain under the Plan on account of such junior Claim or Equity Interest any property.

4. The only Classes of Claims and Equity Interests that are junior to Class A-4 Claims are Claims in Classes A-6 through A-8. Holders of Class A-6 Claims will not receive or retain any property unless holders of Allowed Class A-4 Claims are paid in full, plus interest. Holders of Class A-7 Claims will not receive or retain under the Plan on account of their Claim any property unless holders of Class A-4 and Class A-6 Claims are paid in full, plus interest. Furthermore, holders of Equity Interests in USACM, classified in Class A-8, will receive no distribution and retain no property under the Plan.

5. The only Classes of Claims and Equity Interests that are junior to Class A-6 Claims are Claims in Classes A-7 through A-8. Holders of Class A-7 Claims will not receive or retain under the Plan on account of their Claim any property unless holders of Class A-6 Claims are paid in full, plus interest. Furthermore, holders of Equity Interests in USACM, classified in Class A-8, will receive no distribution and retain no property under the Plan.

6. The Plan is "fair and equitable" as to Class A-7 because holders of junior Claims or Equity Interests will not receive or retain under the Plan on account of such junior Claim or Equity Interest any property. The only Class that is junior to Class A-7 Claims is Class A-8, which is comprised as Equity Interests in USACM. Holders of Equity Interests in USACM will receive no distribution or retain any property under the Plan.

7. The Plan is "fair and equitable" within the meaning of section 1129(b)(2)(C)(ii) as to Class A-8, which is comprised of Equity Interests in USACM, Class D-5, which is comprised of Equity Interests in USA Realty, and Class E-5, which is comprised of Equity Interests in USA Securities because no holder of any interest that is junior to the Equity Interests treated in these Classes will receive or retain any property under the Plan.

I. Article V of the Plan governing the assumption and rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases satisfies the requirements of sections 365 and 1123(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. As provided in the Debtors' Revised Schedule of Executory Contracts And

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

1 Unexpired Leases In Connection With Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Of
 2 Reorganization, filed on December 18, 2006 (docket no. 2162), the Debtors have elected to reject
 3 all executory contracts and unexpired leases.

4 J. The principal purpose of the Plan is not the avoidance of taxes or the
 5 avoidance of the application of section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. § 77e).

6 K. All modifications to the Plan filed or announced prior to the conclusion
 7 of the Confirmation Hearing constitute technical changes and/or changes that have either been
 8 consented to by affected constituents or which do not adversely affect or change the treatment
 9 of any other Claims or Equity Interests. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 3019, these
 10 modifications do not require additional disclosure under Bankruptcy Code section 1125 or
 11 1127(a), or resolicitation of votes under Bankruptcy Code section 1126, nor do they require that
 12 holders of Claims or Equity Interests be afforded an opportunity to change previously cast
 13 acceptances or rejections of the Plan. The Plan as so modified meets the requirements of
 14 Bankruptcy Code sections 1122 and 1123. Such modifications shall be deemed accepted by
 15 each holder of a Claim or Equity Interest who has previously voted to accept the Plan.

16 L. The form of documents in the Plan Documents Supplement, including
 17 the USACM Liquidating Trust Agreement, the DTDF Amended Operating Agreement, the
 18 Direct Lender Supplement, and the Disbursing Agent Agreements for USACM and FTDF
 19 substantially in the form filed by the Debtors are appropriate.

20 M. The appointment of Michael Tucker of FTI Consulting to serve as the
 21 DTDF Administrator and to perform the duties specified under the Plan and the DTDF
 22 Amended Operating Agreement is appropriate and consistent with the best interests of DTDF's
 23 creditors, Equity Interest holders, and the interests of public policy.

24 N. The appointment of Geoffrey L. Berman of Development Specialists,
 25 Inc. to serve as the USACM Trustee and to perform the duties specified under the Plan and the
 26 USACM Trust Agreement is appropriate and consistent with the best interests of USACM's
 27 creditors and the interests of public policy.

28 O. The parties identified to the Court to serve as members of the USACM

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 Fax: (702) 892-0122

1 Trust Committee and the DTDF Post-Effective Date Committee are appropriate to serve in such
2 roles.

3 P. All of the conditions precedent to Confirmation of the Plan described in
4 Article VI Section A of the Plan have been satisfied.

5 Q. The agreements, transactions and transfers authorized by the Confirmation
6 Order, including, without limitation, the USACM Trust Agreement and the DTDF Amended
7 Operating Agreement, are fair, equitable and reasonable, are entered into in good faith, are in the
8 best interests of the USACM and DTDF, their respective creditors and Estates (and with respect to
9 DTDF, its Equity Interest holders), and help provide adequate means for implementing the Plan.

10 R. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1125(e), Persons, including the
11 Debtors, the Committees, and their respective attorneys, agents, directors, officers and
12 representatives, have acted in good faith with respect to the solicitation of votes on the Plan and
13 thus are entitled to all the protections of Bankruptcy Code section 1125(e).

14 S. The injunctions, releases and limitations on liability contained in the Plan
15 are fair and equitable, are given for valuable consideration, were properly noticed to holders of
16 Claims and Equity Interests and other interested parties in accordance with the requirements of
17 due process and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules, and are
18 in the best interests of the Debtors and their Estates.

19 T. Each term and provision of the Plan is valid and enforceable pursuant to its
20 terms.

21 U. The Plan satisfies the requirements for confirmation set forth in
22 section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code.

23 V. The Court's retention of jurisdiction as set forth in Article VIII Section D of
24 the Plan is appropriate and comports with the parameters contained in 28 U.S.C. § 157.

25 W. The provisions of the Plan, these Findings and the Confirmation Order
26 shall bind the Debtors, their respective Estates, the Asset Purchaser, the USACM Trustee, the
27 DTDF Administrator, and all holders of Claims against, and Equity Interests in the Debtors,
28 whether or not the Claims or Equity Interests of such entities are Allowed under the Plan or

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

1 impaired under the Plan, whether or not such entities have voted to accept or reject the Plan,
 2 and whether or not such entities have filed or are deemed to have filed proofs of Claim or
 3 Equity Interests in these Chapter 11 Cases.

4 X. The transfer of the Acquired Assets (including, without limitation, both
 5 real and personal property) to the Asset Purchaser is a transfer in accordance with Bankruptcy
 6 Code section 1146(c) and, therefore the making, delivery, filing or recording of any mortgages,
 7 deeds of trust, leasehold mortgages, leases (whether recorded or unrecorded), and/or the various
 8 instruments and documents of transfer as specified in or contemplated by the Asset Purchase
 9 Agreement or the Plan (collectively, "Instruments of Transfer"), and/or the exhibits thereto are
 10 hereby exempt from taxation under any law imposing a recording tax, stamp tax, sales tax,
 11 transfer tax, use tax or any similar tax or any so-called "bulk-sale". The appropriate federal,
 12 state or local government filing and recording officers are hereby directed to accept for filing or
 13 recording all Instruments of Transfer or other documents of transfer to be filed and recorded in
 14 accordance with the Plan or the Asset Sale Transaction, without payment of any such tax or
 15 government assessment, and without the presentation of any affidavits, instruments, or returns
 16 otherwise required for recording, other than the Confirmation Order. The Court retains
 17 jurisdiction to enforce the foregoing direction, by contempt proceedings or otherwise.

18 Y. All DTDF Litigation Claims and FTDF Transferred Assets accruing to
 19 DTDF or FTDF, or their Estates, shall remain assets of the Post-Effective Date DTDF, whether
 20 or not litigation relating thereto is pending on the Effective Date and whether or not any such
 21 right or cause of action has been listed or referred to in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement or
 22 any schedule, exhibit or other document filed in connection therewith.

23 Z. All USACM Litigation Claims and the FTDF Litigation Claims (transferred
 24 to USACM pursuant to section E.2.j of Art. IV of the Plan) accruing to USACM or FTDF,
 25 respectively, or their respective Estates shall remain assets of and vest in the USACM Trust,
 26 whether or not litigation relating thereto is pending on the Effective Date and whether or not any
 27 such right or cause of action has been listed or referred to in the Plan, the Disclosure Statement or
 28 any schedule, exhibit or other document filed in connection therewith.

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

1 AA. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.1. of the Plan, the compromise between
2 USACM and the Direct Lenders is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the USACM
3 Estate and the Direct Lenders, respectively.

4 BB. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.2. of the Plan, the compromise between
5 USACM and FTDF is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the USACM Estate and
6 FTDF Estate, respectively.

7 CC. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.3. of the Plan, the compromise between
8 FTDF and DTDF is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the FTDF Estate and DTDF
9 Estate, respectively.

10 DD. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.4. of the Plan, the compromise between
11 FTDF and USA Realty is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the FTDF Estate and
12 USA Realty Estate, respectively.

13 EE. As set forth in Article IV, Section E.5. of the Plan, the compromise
14 between DTDF and USA Realty is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the DTDF
15 Estate and USA Realty Estate, respectively.

16 FF. The Asset Purchase Agreement and the transactions contemplated by the
17 Asset Purchase Agreement were negotiated and have been and are undertaken by USACM and
18 FTDF (FTDF together with USACM, the "Sellers") and Asset Purchaser at arm's length,
19 without collusion or fraud, and in good faith within the meaning of section 363(m) of the
20 Bankruptcy Code, and, accordingly, the reversal or modification on appeal of the authorization
21 provided herein to consummate the Asset Sale Transaction shall not affect the validity of the
22 transfer of the Acquired Assets to the Asset Purchaser unless such authorization is duly stayed
23 pending such appeal.

24 GG. The Auction conducted in accordance with the Bid Procedures Order on
25 December 7, 2006, at which Asset Purchaser was declared the highest and best bidder, was
26 conducted in good faith within the meaning of section 363(m) of the Bankruptcy Code. The
27 Asset Purchaser is a purchaser in good faith of the Acquired Assets. As a result of the
28 foregoing, the Sellers and Asset Purchaser entitled to the protections of section 363(m) of the

SCHWARTZ & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

1 Bankruptcy Code.

2 HH. The Asset Purchase Agreement was negotiated, proposed and entered
3 into by the Debtors and the Asset Purchaser without collusion, in good faith, and from arm's-
4 length bargaining positions.

5 II. There were no brokers involved in the Asset Sale Transaction, and no
6 brokers' commissions are due with respect to the Asset Sale Transaction.

7 JJ. The Asset Purchaser is not an "insider" of any of the Debtors, as that
8 term is defined in section 101 of the Bankruptcy Code.

9 KK. The transfer of the Acquired Assets to the Asset Purchaser will be a
10 legal, valid, and effective transfer of the Acquired Assets, and will vest the Asset Purchaser
11 with all right, title, and interest of the Sellers to the Acquired Assets free and clear of all liens,
12 claims, interests, obligations and encumbrances whatsoever, including, but not limited to, (A)
13 all monetary and non-monetary defaults and rights that purport to give to any party a right or
14 option to effect any forfeiture, modification, right of first refusal, or termination of the Sellers'
15 or the Asset Purchaser's interest in, or rights in or under, the Acquired Assets, or any similar
16 rights, based in any way on any action taken (or failed to be taken) by any of the Debtors or any
17 other matter or occurrence relating to the period prior to the Closing (other than any right that
18 existed and was matured and exercisable, as of the Petition Date, to effect a substitution of
19 USACM as loan servicer under Section 3 of any Loan Servicing Agreement, as well as any
20 defenses of the loan servicer thereto (a "Surviving Section 3 Right")); (B) taxes arising under or
21 out of, in connection with, or in any way relating to the existence, ownership, management or
22 servicing of the Acquired Assets prior to the Closing; and (C) (i) all mortgages, deeds of trust,
23 security interests, conditional sale or other title retention agreements, pledges, liens, judgments,
24 demands, encumbrances, rights of first refusal or charges of any kind or nature, if any,
25 including, but not limited to, any restriction on the use, voting, transfer, receipt of income or
26 other exercise of any attributes of ownership and (ii) all debts arising in any way in connection
27 with any agreements, acts, or failures to act, of any of the Sellers or any of the Sellers'
28 predecessors or affiliates; all claims (as that term is defined in the Bankruptcy Code),

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5508
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

obligations, liabilities, rights of recoupment or setoff, demands, guaranties, options, rights, restrictions, interest and matters of any kind and nature in any way relating to the existence, ownership, management or servicing of the Acquired Assets prior to Closing, whether known or unknown, contingent or otherwise, whether arising prior to or subsequent to the commencement of these cases pursuant to chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and whether imposed by agreement, understanding, law, equity or otherwise, including but not limited to claims otherwise arising under doctrines of successor liability (collectively, "Interests"); provided, however, that, in connection with any attempted post-Closing exercise of a Surviving Section 3 Right: (a) the Direct Lenders must provide Compass at least thirty (30) days prior written notice of the intended exercise of such right in accordance with section 8 of the Loan Servicing Agreement, (b) Compass shall have the right to challenge the exercise of such Surviving Section 3 Right by filing a motion with this Court prior to the expiration of such thirty (30) day period to determine whether such Surviving Section 3 Right has been properly and validly exercised (the "Compass Motion") and the Court shall retain jurisdiction to adjudicate any such disputes, (c) in the event Compass timely files such Compass Motion, the effectiveness of the attempted exercise of such Surviving Section 3 Right shall be stayed pending this Court's entry of an order in respect of the Compass Motion, and (d) the post-Closing survival of such Surviving Section 3 Right shall not impair in any respect any rights or interests of Compass under the Loan Servicing Agreements, including, without limitation, its rights under Section 2(c)(iii) of the Loan Servicing Agreement. In the event of a proper exercise of remedies under Section 3 of the Loan Servicing Agreement, (i) neither the Direct Lenders nor any replacement servicer selected by such Direct Lender shall have the right or ability to compromise, subordinate, or impair, in any respect, any claims purchased by Compass from the Estates for default interest, accrued servicing fees, late charges, success fees, or other amounts under the Loan Servicing Agreement, and (ii) the Confirmation Order shall be binding upon such replacement servicer regardless of whether such replacement servicer actually received such copy of the Confirmation Order.

LL. The Loan Servicing Agreements are not executory contracts under

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM

2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308

Tel: (702) 228-7590 • Fax: (702) 892-0122

Bankruptcy Code section 365 and can be transferred to the Asset Purchaser under Bankruptcy Code sections 1123 and 363(b) without being assumed and assigned to the Asset Purchaser under Bankruptcy Code section 365.

MM. The Asset Purchaser would not have entered into the Asset Purchase Agreement and would not consummate the transactions contemplated thereby, thus adversely affecting the Sellers, their Estates, their creditors, and, with respect to FTDF, its Equity Interest holders, if the sale of the Acquired Assets to the Asset Purchaser was not free and clear of all Interests of any kind or nature whatsoever, or if the Asset Purchaser would, or in the future could, be liable for any of the Interests, including, without limitation, any liabilities not expressly assumed by the Asset Purchaser.

NN. The consideration provided by Asset Purchaser pursuant to the Asset Purchase Agreement (i) is fair and reasonable, (ii) is the highest and best offer for the Acquired Assets, (iii) will provide a greater recovery to the Sellers' Estates than would be provided by any other available alternative, and (iv) constitutes reasonably equivalent value and fair consideration under the Bankruptcy Code and under the laws of the United States, any State (including Nevada), territory, possession, or the District of Columbia.

OO. Unless otherwise provided by law, the reversal or modification of the Confirmation Order and these Findings on appeal shall not affect the validity of the Plan, or any agreement or action authorized by the Confirmation Order or under the Plan with respect to any entity acting in good faith, whether or not that entity knows of the appeal, unless the Confirmation Order is stayed pending appeal.

PP. Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, the Debtors are entitled to entry by this Court of the Confirmation Order.

Submitted by:
RAY QUINNEY & NEBEKER P.C.
and SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM

Approved / Disapproved by:
OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE

By: /s/ Jeanette E. McPherson
LENARD E. SCHWARTZER, ESQ.
JEANETTE E. MCPHERSON, ESQ.
ANNETTE W. JARVIS, ESQ.
STEVEN STRONG, ESQ.
Counsel for Debtors

By: _____
August B. Landis

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
 Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

**[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF
 THE "ORDER CONFIRMING THE "DEBTORS' THIRD AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER
 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, AS MODIFIED HEREIN"**

Approved/Disapproved by:
 LEWIS AND ROCA, LLP

By: /s/ Rob Charles
 SUSAN M. FREEMAN, ESQ.
 ROB CHARLES, ESQ.
*Counsel for the Official Committee of
 Unsecured Creditors of USA Commercial
 Mortgage Company*

Approved/Disapproved by:
 GORDON & SILVER, LTD.

By: /s/ Gregory Garman
 GERALD M. GORDON, ESQ.
 GREGORY E. GARMAN, ESQ.
*Counsel for the Official Committee of
 Holders of Executory Contract Rights of
 USA Commercial Mortgage Company*

Approved/Disapproved by:
 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 and BECKLEY SINGLETON, CHTD.

By: /s/ Marc A. Levinson
 MARC A. LEVINSON, ESQ.
 JEFFERY HERMANN ESQ.
 BOB L. OLSON, ESQ.
 ANNE M. LORADITCH, ESQ.
*Counsel for the Official Committee of
 Equity Security Holders of USA Capital
 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC*

Approved/Disapproved by:
 STUTMAN TREISTER & GLATT, P.C. and
 SHEA & CARLYON, LTD.

By: /s/ Christine Pajak
 FRANK A. MEROLA, ESQ.
 EVE KARASIK, ESQ.
 CHRISTINE PAJAK, ESQ.
 CANDACE C. CARLYON, ESQ.
*Counsel for the Official Committee of
 Equity Security Holders of USA Capital
 First Trust Deed Fund LLC*

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: /s/ Kevin Darby for
 ALAN SMITH, ESQ.
Counsel for Lenders Protection Group

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____
 DEAN KIRBY, ESQ.
*Counsel for Debt Acquisition
 Company of America*

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____
 ERIC FIELD, ESQ.
*Counsel for Pension Benefit Guarantee
 Corporation*

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____
 NANCY ALLF, ESQ.
 ROBERT LEPOME, ESQ.
Counsel for The Alexander Group

SCHWARTZ & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF THE "ORDER CONFIRMING THE "DEBTORS' THIRD AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION, AS MODIFIED HEREIN"

Approved/Disapproved by:

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____
MICHAEL SCHMAHL, ESQ.
*Counsel for Dr. Gary Kantor, Mrs. Kantor
and Kantor Nephrology 401K plan*

By: /s/ Janet Chubb
JANET CHUBB, ESQ.
Counsel for Jones Vargas Direct Lenders

Approved/Disapproved by:

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: /s/ George Davis
GEORGE DAVIS ESQ.
Counsel for Compass Partners

By: _____
DAVID COHEN, ESQ.
Counsel for Sierra Liquidity Fund

Approved/Disapproved by:

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____
GREGORY J. WALCH ESQ.
*Counsel for Gregory J. Walch and Shauna
M. Walch, Trustees of the Gregory J. and
Shauna M. Walch Family Trust*

By: _____
JEFFREY SYLVESTER, ESQ.
*Counsel for USA Commercial Real Estate
Group*

Approved/Disapproved by:

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: _____
RUSSELL WALKER, ESQ.
*Counsel for USA Investment Partners, LLC,
Joseph Milanowski and Thomas Hantges*

By: _____
SUSAN SCANN, ESQ.
*Counsel for Copper Sage Commercial
Center and Binford Medical Developers, LLC*

Approved/Disapproved by:

Approved/Disapproved by:

By: /s/ Andrew Brumby
ANDREW BRUMBY, ESQ.
R. VAUGHN GOURLEY, ESQ.
Counsel for Standard Property Development

By: _____
WADE GOCHNOUR, ESQ.
ARYN M. FITZWATER, ESQ.
Counsel for Liberty Bank

///

SCHWARTZER & MCPHERSON LAW FIRM
2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308
Tel: (702) 228-7590 · Fax: (702) 892-0122

1 In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies as follows (check one):
2 ____ The court has waived the requirement of approval under LR 9021.
3 ____ No parties appeared or filed written objections, and there is no trustee appointed in the case.
4 X I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any
unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and any trustee appointed in this case, and each has
5 approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below [list each party and whether the
party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document]:

6 **Failed to respond:**

7 WADE GOCHNOUR, ESQ.
8 SUSAN SCANN, ESQ.
9 RUSSELL WALKER, ESQ.
10 GREGORY J. WALCH ESQ.
11 JEFFREY SYLVESTER, ESQ.
12 DAVID COHEN, ESQ.
13 MICHAEL SCHMAHL, ESQ.
14 ROBERT LEPOME, ESQ.
15 ERIC FIELD, ESQ.
16 DEAN KIRBY, ESQ.
17 August B. Landis, Esq.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
###